

ಶ್ರೀ ಡಿ. ದೇವರಾಜ ಅರಸ್.—ಅವರು ಹೇಳುವುದು ಅರ್ಥವಾಗುತ್ತಿಲ್ಲ, ಆ ರೀತಿ ಯಾವುದನ್ನು ಕೂಡ ಮಾಡುತ್ತಿಲ್ಲ. 1958-59ರಲ್ಲಿ ಈ ರೂಟನ್ನು ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಂಡು ಮುಂದುವರಿಸಲಾಗುತ್ತಿದೆ. ಯಾರ ಪ್ರಭಾವಕ್ಕೂ ಒಳಗಾಗಿರುವುದಿಲ್ಲ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಲಕ್ಷ್ಮಪ್ಪ.—ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು-ಹೊಸದುರ್ಗ ಮಾರ್ಗ ಪ್ರೋತ್ಸಾಹದಾಯಕವಾಗಿಲ್ಲ ಎಂದು ಹೇಳಿದರು. ಹಾಗೆ ಆಗಿರುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಕಾರಣವೇನು ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಡಿ. ದೇವರಾಜ ಅರಸ್.—ಹಾಕಿದಾಗಿನಿಂದ ಸಾಕಷ್ಟು ಪ್ರಯಾಣಿಕರು ಬಾರದಿರು ವುದರಿಂದ ಪ್ರೋತ್ಸಾಹದಾಯಕವಾಗಿಲ್ಲ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಪಿ. ರೇವಣ್ಣ ಸಿದ್ದಪ್ಪ.—ಖಾಸಗಿ ಬಸ್‌ಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಅನುಕೂಲ ಜಾಸ್ತಿ ಇದೆ ಎಂದು ಒಪ್ಪಿ ಕೊಳ್ಳುತ್ತಾರೆಯೇ ಸ್ವಾಮಿ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಡಿ. ದೇವರಾಜ ಅರಸ್.—ಹೊಸದುರ್ಗಕ್ಕೆ ನಾನು ಖಾಸಗಿ ಬಸ್‌ನಲ್ಲಿ ಪ್ರಯಾಣಮಾಡಿಲ್ಲ. ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ಅದರಲ್ಲಿ ಜಾಸ್ತಿ ಅನುಕೂಲವಿದೆಯೋ ಕಡಮೆ ಅನುಕೂಲ ಇದೆಯೋ ಎನ್ನುವುದು ನನಗೆ ಗೊತ್ತಿಲ್ಲ. 20 ಬಸ್ಸುಗಳಿವೆ. 21ನೆಯ ಬಸ್ ಆಗಿ ನಮ್ಮದು ಒಂದೇ ಒಂದು ಬಸ್ ಆ ಮಾರ್ಗದಲ್ಲಿ ಓಡುತ್ತಿದೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಜಿ. ವಿ. ಗೌಡ.—20 ಬಸ್‌ಗಳು ಒಡುತ್ತಿರಬೇಕಾದರೆ, ಸರ್ಕಾರಿ ಬಸ್ಸಿಗೆ ಪ್ರೋತ್ಸಾಹ ಇಲ್ಲದೆ ಇದ್ದ ಮೇಲೆ ಅದನ್ನು ಏಕೆ ನಿಲ್ಲಿಸಬಾರದು ಸ್ವಾಮಿ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಡಿ. ದೇವರಾಜ ಅರಸ್.—ನಮ್ಮದು ಅಬ್ಬಿಗೇಟರಿ ಬಸ್ ಸರ್ವಿಸ್ ಎಂದು ಆಗಿರುವುದರಿಂದ ಬಸ್ಸನ್ನು ಓಡಿಸುತ್ತಿದ್ದೇವೆ. ಇಲ್ಲವೆ ಇದ್ದರೆ ಮಾನ್ಯ ಸದಸ್ಯರು ಹೇಳುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಮೊದಲೇ ನಿಲ್ಲಿಸಿ ಬಿಡುತ್ತಿದ್ದೆವು.

Sri G. V. GOWDA.—I want to know how it is obligatory.

Sri D. DEVARAJ URS.—Sir, when once we took up this route for running the service, it was monopolised subsequently and since that particular route has been monopolised, we have got to run the service.

Sri G. V. GOWDA.—Then, how can the private buses be allowed to run ?

Sri D. DEVARAJ URS.—If there are 20 buses, they are called 20 routes. Out of this 20 or 18 routes, we have monopolised only one route.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Now, passed over questions.

Amount spent by Public Works Department on Government Buildings in Belgaum District.

*Q.—495. Sri V. S. PATIL (Belgaum).—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(a) the total amount spent by the Public Works Department on Government buildings, original or extensions since 1st November 1960 till today in Belgaum District ;

(b) whether there was any pressing necessity for that expenditure ;

(c) what Departments required those buildings ;

(d) what is the percentage of expenditure on these building for the various Departments ?

A.—Sri G. B. SHANKAR RAO (Parliamentary Secretary to the Minister for Public Works).—

(a) Rs. 97.33 lakhs.

(b) Yes.

(c) The following Departments required these buildings :—

- (1) Revenue
- (2) Forest
- (3) Registration
- (4) General Administration
- (5) Administration of Justice
- (6) Jails
- (7) Police
- (8) Education
- (9) Medical
- (10) Public Health
- (11) Agriculture
- (12) Animal Husbandry
- (13) Industries
- (14) P. W. D. and
- (15) Misc. Departments.

(d) The percentage of expenditure is as follows :—

	Per cent
(1) Land Revenue	0.35
(2) Forest	0.40
(3) Registration	0.10
(4) General Administration	4.60
(5) Administration of Justice	3.10
(6) Jails	2.20
(7) Police	22.55
(8) Education	6.00
(9) Medical	22.00
(10) Public Health	12.30
(11) Agriculture	8.00
(12) Animal Husbandry	0.60
(13) Industries	0.60
(14) Civil (P. W. D.)	12.20
(15) Misc. Departments.	5.00

Sri V. S. PATIL.—May I know whether it was quite essential for constructing all these buildings for all these departments ?

Sri G. B. SHANKAR RAO.—Sir, it is in the answer itself that it was necessary for the construction of these buildings,

Sri V. S. PATIL.—May I know as to whether this department has constructed one building for the location of the Polytechnic Institute and now it is being diverted for other use ?

Sri S. R. KANTHI (Minister for Education).—Sir, I will answer that because Polytechnic comes under my department. The building

was constructed for housing the Polytechnic Institute. In that area, there is also a building for Technical High Schools. There was a demand from the Health Department as this came under the development of the hospital and they wanted for this two buildings to be handed over to them. The Government have agreed and they are constructing another building for the Polytechnic. This is quite essential because it is coming in between the hospital and the road and for the sake of the hospital this is done. Sri Patil knows it much better than myself.

Sri V. S. PATIL.—I thank the Education Minister for intervening. This Polytechnic Institute was constructed with a view to develop it into an Engineering College; that was the original scheme. So, by depriving the Technical Department of that building, is not education of this particular subject going to suffer?

Sri S. R. KANTHI.—Sir, nobody could have an Engineering college on an acreage of 5; it is impossible. Hon'ble Member says that the Polytechnic Institute would be upgraded and made a college. I do not know anything of that sort. Polytechnic is of a different sort altogether. If the Hon'ble Member says that there should be an Engineering College for Belgaum City, it is a different matter altogether. In this respect, Government have taken all matters into consideration and came to the conclusion that the hospital should be extended. It is now having 400 beds and after this, there will be 800 beds. In the interest of the Belgaum people, we have done this.

Sugarcane Cess Fund Division in Mandya District.

*Q.—542. **Sri G. MADE GOWDA** (Malavalli).—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(a) whether it is a fact that there was a Sugarcane Cess Fund Division in Mandya District;

(b) what was the compelling reasons to abolish the Division;

(c) the total length of mileage of roads belonged to Sugarcane Cess Fund Division;

(d) the amount required to maintain these roads every year;

(e) the amount released to maintain these roads from the date of abolition of the said Sugarcane Cess Fund Division every year?

A.—**Sri G. B. SHANKAR RAO** (Parliamentary Secretary to the Minister for Public Works).—

(a) Yes.

(b) Due to the abolition of the Sugarcane Cess, the Division has been abolished and the works entrusted to the regular Division which are attending to the work satisfactorily.